Quantization rules applied to analytical solutions of the Schrödinger equation

M. W. Evans*, H. Eckardt†
Civil List, A.I.A.S. and UPITEC

(www.webarchive.org.uk, www.aias.us,
www.atomicprecision.com, www.upitec.org)

April 12, 2019

3 Graphical examples and application to quantum chemistry

3.1 Examples of wave functions in m theory

First we present some graphics of analytical solutions of the Schrödinger equation. One basic system often used for describing quantum effects is the harmonic oscillator. It exhibits energy levels and time eigenfunctions as given by Eqs. (11) and (12). The radial eigenfunctions are complicated functions of Hermite polynomials and given in note 436(1). A remarkable property is the existence of a zero point energy $E = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega$ for the lowest quantum state $n = 0$. The eigen functions of the harmonic oscillator are graphed in Fig. 1 for the lowest states. They are even and odd functions around the centre $r = 0$. In case of m theory the radial coordinate is replaced by

$$r \rightarrow \frac{r}{\mathrm{m}(r)^{1/2}}. \tag{38}$$

This leads to sharp edges and stretching in the eigenstates at $r = 0$ as can be seen from Fig.2. The symmetry or antisymmetry remains intact.

The anharmonic oscillator is much more complicated to handle but an analytical solution for the Schrödinger equation is known, see note 436(2). There is an asymmetry factor $x \propto 1/\omega$ in energies and eigenfunctions. The asymmetric potential of this oscillator type leads to asymmetric eigenstates as graphed in Fig. 3. The eigenstates depend on generalized Laguerre polynomials. Using the transformation (38) to m space, a similar effect as for the harmonic oscillator appears: The functions have wide jumps or get sharp edges at the origin (Fig. 4). This is a consequence of the m function which is effective near to the origin.

*email: emyrone@aol.com
†email: mail@horst-eckardt.de

3.2 m theory in Quantum Chemistry

We have developed an example of m space effects impacting Quantum Chemistry. The computer code used is based on an ab initio method, the Local Density Approximation (LDA), and solves either the Schrödinger equation (non-relativistic case) or the Dirac equation (relativistic case) or the squared Dirac equation (so-called scalar-relativistic calculation)[1]. Spin-polarized calculations can be performed with the first two cases.

In the LDA method the equations for the N-electron system are reduced to effective 1-electron equations for each electron (i.e. orbital occupation). The effective potential to be used is (in atomic units)

$$U_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r}) = U_{\text{core}}(\mathbf{r}) + U_{\text{el}}(\mathbf{r}) + U_{\text{xc}}(\mathbf{r}) \tag{39}$$

with core potential

$$U_{\text{core}}(\mathbf{r}) = -Z/r, \tag{40}$$

electron potential

$$U_{\text{el}}(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}')}{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'} d\tau', \tag{41}$$

and so-called exchange-correlation potential

$$U_{\text{xc}}(\mathbf{r}) = U_{\text{xc}}[\rho(\mathbf{r})]. \tag{42}$$

The main problem is the handling of exchange and correlation of the N-electron system (interaction of electrons according to Pauli's exclusion principle) so that an effective 1-electron equation remains to be solved. There are several approaches to this problem but the differences cannot be seen in charge density plots we provided as examples below.

The wave functions ψ_i follow as solutions of e.g. the Schrödinger equation and the charge density is

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i |\psi_i(\mathbf{r})|^2 . \tag{43}$$

Because the charge density enters the potential which in turn determines the solutions of the Schrödinger equation, both computations have to be iteratively repeated until self-consistency is reached. A similar problem was already discussed for Hydrogen bonding[2] where the full ECE potential including the spin connection was considered. In order to obtain resonant states, a similar self-consistency cycle was proposed.

We computed the atomic charge density of a Nickel atom as an example. Ni has 18 core electrons and 10 valence electrons in configuration $3d^8 4s^2$. The configuration can be changed e.g. to compute ionization energies for the ion $3d^8 4s^1$ or spin-ordered states which play a role in the Ni solid. The relevant quantity in such calculations is the total energy.

[1] H. Gollisch and L. Fritsche; "Relativistic One-Particle Equation for Electron States of Heavy Metals", phys. stat. sol. (b)86, 145 (1978)

[2] Myron Evans, Douglas Lindstrom, Horst Eckardt; "ECE Theory of Hydrogen Bonding", International Conference on Water, Hydrogen Bonding Nanomaterials and Nanomedicine; Banja Luka, September 4, 2010

In our example we have first graphed the total charge density concentrated in spherical shells, $4\pi r^2\rho$, see Fig. 5. This is what can be sampled by XPS experiments for example. The three shells of the principal quantum numbers can be seen. For a more detailed view the valence charge density ρ_{val} alone is shown in Fig. 6. The $3d$ shell, which is separated from the s electrons, is well visible. When performing the radial coordinate transformation (38), the charge density near to the origin is shifted to larger radii. This effect can be observed in Fig. 6 where we have used the exponential m function with parameter $R = 5 \cdot 10^{-4} a_0$. Due to the logarithmic scale, differences near to the origin are clearly visible. As a second modification we have graphed the modified density $\frac{\rho(r)}{\mathrm{m}(r)^{1/2}}$. Near to the lowest radial grid points, the density is enlarged by a factor of 2. The question is if this has a remarkable effect on the total charge which is the integral over the charge density. The integral

$$\int \frac{\rho(r)}{\mathrm{m}(r)^{1/2}} d\tau \tag{44}$$

which gives the number of electrons in the case $\mathrm{m}(r)=1$, deviates from N=28 (for atomic nickel) only in the fifth decimal place. The differences are not visible if the charge density of spherical shells of Fig. 5 is considered. This shows that for quantum-chemical calculations it could be sufficient to apply m theory a posteriori as a perturbation effect, although the density is altered significantly near to the origin. The situation is different for nuclear physics where the structure of the nucleus is impacted – and possibly completely determined – by m theory.



Figure 1: Eigenstates of the harmonic oscillator.



Figure 2: Eigenstates of the harmonic oscillator, m theory.



Figure 3: Eigenstates of the anharmonic oscillator.



Figure 4: Eigenstates of the anharmonic oscillator, m theory.



Figure 5: Total charge density of a Ni atom, spherical $4\pi r^2 \rho$.



Figure 6: Valence charge density ρ_{val} of a Ni atom, effects of m space.